

Docket No.: YOR919980228US4

Application No. 10/657,093

REMARKS

Claims 59-61, 63, 64, 66-83 and 85-112 are pending in the application. Claims 59-61, 63, 64, 66-79, 81-83 and 85-112 are allowed. Claim 80 stands rejected. Claim 80 has been canceled.

The entry of the Amendment filed on December 7, 2005 is respectfully acknowledged. Moreover, Applicant thanks the Examiner for withdrawal of all rejections and objections not reiterated in the Office action dated April 21, 2006.

Rejection under 35 USC§112 (2)

Claim 80 is rejected by the Examiner for "lack of proper antecedent basis for 'the fourth electrode' because the claim 77 from which it depends only refers to a third electrode. Additionally, logical antecedent basis is lacking in the claim for the addition of a fifth and sixth electrode because the claim 77 from which it depends only recites a first, second, and third electrode."

Claim 80 has been canceled.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges Examiner's statement that no motivation could be found in the prior art for the instant invention as claimed.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Docket No.: YOR919980228US4

Application No. 10/657,093

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 20140-00290-US2 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: 6/23/06

Respectfully submitted,

By Thor B. Nielsen

Burton A. Amernick

Registration No.: 24,852

Thor B. Nielsen

Registration No.: 45,528

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 331-7111

(202) 293-6229 (Fax)

Attorneys for Applicant